United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## ENTERED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

July 29, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America	)
V.	) Case No. 5:17-CR-560-14
MOHSEN MOHAMMADI-MOHAMMADI	) case No. 3.17-CR-300-14
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligil	oility for Detention
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursua	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's own	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.	C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of con	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions	
<u> </u>	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	3 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum s	•
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>
(d) any felony if such person has been c	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; <b>or</b>
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a cri	me of violence but involves:
	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); ) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convict	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	t would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on releas	e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has el	lapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
$\square$ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> </ul>
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district ☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
EXT NIGHTICANT TAMILY OF OTHER TIES OUTSIDE THE United States

## Case 5:17-cr-00560 Document 939 Filed on 07/29/21 in TXSD Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
SEE ATTACHMENT A.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

United States Magistrate Judge

07/29/2021

Date: